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My Teacher and Me: Investigating Quality in the Teacher-Child Relationship from the Child’s Perspective

Project Summary

The importance of high-quality teacher-child relationships for children’s successful learning and development in preschool and kindergarten classrooms has been well established. Children who report higher levels of support from teachers are often more engaged in their work, participate in class more actively, exhibit better work habits, and tend to like school more. Alternatively, children reported to be in relationships regarded as higher in conflict often do poorer academically, are less engaged, and report liking school less (Baker, 2006; Furrer & Skinner, 2003; Hamre & Pianta, 2001; Klem & Connell, 2004; Ladd, et al., 1999). Yet, a majority of the research on teacher-child relationship quality in early childhood settings has been conducted solely from the perspective of the classroom teacher. Few studies have assessed teacher-child relationship quality from the child’s perspective, and no studies have attempted to triangulate the perspectives of the child, teacher, and an outside observer. Having a better understanding of this relationship from all perspectives would provide better insight in creating interventions aimed at improving relationship quality.

The purpose of the present study will be to assess the child’s perspective using a story completion task and then explore associations between the perspectives of the child, his/her teacher, and an outside observer. This study has the potential to fill an important gap in the research and perhaps, more importantly gives voice to some of our youngest students in assessing the quality of early childhood classroom settings. Acquisition of a Starter Grant would be essential in funding personnel, assessment materials, and equipment needed to conduct this study.
## ITEMIZED BUDGET

**PERSONNEL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transcription (15 min. interviews x 120 children = 30 hours, $20 per hour)</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TRAINING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NVivo Online Training</td>
<td>$325.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EQUIPMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audio Recorders (2)</td>
<td>$140.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptop Computer</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVivo Analysis Software License</td>
<td>$650.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ASSESSMENT MATERIALS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multicultural Dolls (adults &amp; children, varying gender, eth)</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASS Teacher Observation Manual &amp; Forms</td>
<td>$140.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (PPVT)</td>
<td>$390.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INCENTIVES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Books (120 children, $3 per book)</td>
<td>$360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers (12 teachers, $5 per book)</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUPPLIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office supplies (file folders, pencils, etc.)</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$3,765.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This project is not currently supported with any other funds. This starter grant proposal serves as the first attempt at solidifying funds to conduct the study.
Proposal Narrative

INTRODUCTION

Early childhood (birth to age eight) is a period of increased sensitivity to relationships (Pianta & Walsh, 1996). Interpersonal interactions between teachers and children provide children with the processes needed to competently navigate the challenges of development. Bronfenbrenner described daily interactions of children and teachers as “proximal processes” (Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 1998). These interactions serve children best when they are characterized as caring and sensitive. Success in school is then mediated by the social interactions between teachers and children (Pianta & Walsh, 1996).

From routine interactions (or proximal processes) with parents and teachers, attachment theorists posit that a child develops an “internal working model” of relationships based on these early interactions (Bowlby, 1984; Bretherton, 1990). These are mental representations the child forms of what to expect from the adults responsible for their care. These models function to guide and direct the child’s behavior in relationships, as well as to interpret the behaviors, thoughts, and feelings of others (Bretherton, 1999). These models become operable through repeated interactions with the caregiver. A child who can count on the support of the attachment figure in times of need is free to give their full attention to other concerns (Bretherton, 1999), such as exploration of the environment or opportunities for learning important skills or content. Yet, little to no research exists on children’s internal working models of relationships with their teachers. Consideration of the child’s perspective in this relationship could be important in designing interventions aimed at improving teacher-child relationship quality.

REVIEW OF RESEARCH

The majority of research on teacher-child relationships has focused on the impact of the relationship on children’s social, emotional, and academic development. Studies found children who reported higher levels of support from teachers were more engaged in their work (Furrer & Skinner, 2003; Klem & Connell, 2004), participated in classroom activities at higher rates (Ladd et al., 1999), exhibited better work habits (Baker, 2006; Hamre & Pianta, 2001) and liked school more (Birch & Ladd, 1997). Children in conflictual teacher-child relationships liked school less (Birch & Ladd) and experienced more frustration and less tolerance (Pianta et al., 1997). Children in dependent teacher-child relationships avoided school more (Birch & Ladd).

Children in high quality teacher-child relationships perform better on standardized language and literacy assessments. At-risk children given little instructional and emotional support from teachers performed significantly lower on standardized reading measures than peers who were not at-risk (Hamre & Pianta, 2005). When given support from teachers, at-risk children performed similarly to low-risk children in reading (Hamre & Pianta). In another study, higher reports of teacher-child closeness were strongly related to higher receptive vocabulary among African American children (Burchinal et al., 2002).

Although prior research on teacher-child relationships has established a strong connection between this relationship and children’s development, a majority of these studies have assessed relationship quality solely from the teacher’s perspective. Few
studies have approached the study of preschool and kindergarten teacher-child relationship quality from the child’s perspective.

One such study by Murray, Murray, and Waas (2008) assessed instructional and emotional support in teacher-child relationships using a sample of over 150 kindergarten students and their teachers. Children were assessed using the My Family and Friends Scale in which children indicated the type and amount of support provided by their kindergarten teachers. The researchers had some success with the measure, but associations between the teacher’s and child’s reports were not found to be significant. Researchers indicated their measure may not have adequately captured students’ perceptions of quality and suggested narrative measures be used in future studies of the child’s perspective.

Narrative measures have proved useful in understanding the child’s internal working model of the parent-child relationship. Often referred to as story completion tasks these measures are designed to assess a child’s ability to generate resolutions for stressful situations and to assess the quality of interaction between two actors presented in the child’s story completions. One common measure of the child’s perspective of their relationship with a parent, the MacArthur Story Stem Battery (1990), was developed by Bretherton, Oppenheim, and colleagues. The Attachment Doll Inventory is a similar measure created by Oppenheim (1997). In each of these measures, the child is presented with a story starter describing a mildly stressful conflict that might occur in the daily life of a preschooler. The child is presented with dolls or bears representing a child and parent (of the same gender and/or ethnicity as the child) and the interviewer asks the child to show them what happens next using the dolls.

For the purpose of the current study, a similar measure was designed in which children will respond to story starters describing typical classroom scenarios and children will be asked to act out the end of the story using a teacher and child doll. In addition, data will be collected from the teachers to assess their perspective of relationship quality and classroom observations will be conducted to capture teacher-child interactions from the perspective of an outside observer. We will then investigate associations between these three reports of relationship quality, from the child, the teacher, and an outside observer and see how key child (ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status) and teacher characteristics (ethnicity, years of experience, and degree level) influence these reports. Analyses will control for children’s receptive language ability, under the assumption that children with stronger language skills will be better able to express their feelings about the relationship. This study has the potential to fill an important gap in the research as no studies that I know of have attempted to triangulate the perspectives of the child, teacher, and an outside observer.

METHOD

Ten children from each of 10-12 classrooms will be recruited to participate. Students will be randomly selected from within their classrooms. All preschool and kindergarten classrooms will be located in the Charleston area and children will represent a diversity of ethnic and socioeconomic backgrounds. Once parent permission is granted, all children and teachers will complete all measures within the same four to six week period in the spring of 2011. Participating students and teachers will each receive a book for their participation.

Measures
Demographic information. Teachers will complete a short questionnaire for the purpose of collecting demographic information on the participating children and themselves. Teachers will be asked to report their own gender, ethnicity, years of teaching experience, and the highest degree they have completed. Teachers will also be asked to report gender, ethnicity, age, and free/reduced lunch status of each of the participating students.

"My Teacher and Me" Story Completion Task. Each child will respond to six story stems, beginning with two “warm-up” stories. Children will be given the prompt and will be asked to tell what happens next and/or how the child would feel in the situation using dolls. A teacher doll of the same ethnicity and gender as the teacher and a child doll of the same gender and ethnicity as each participant will be used. The scenarios will involve typical interactions between teachers and children in the context of the regular school day. An example is a scenario in which the child is warned by his/her teacher not to touch a hot bag of popcorn, but the child touches it anyways and gets burned. A second example would be a scenario in which the teacher gives the child a project to work on and the child thinks the project is really difficult. In each example, the story stops there and the interviewer asks the child to “show them what happens next” and/or asks “how the child would feel?” Stems were used in a pilot study conducted by the author and yielded consistent, appropriate responses from the children assessed. Each interview takes approximately 15 minutes to complete. Each interaction will be audiotaped using a digital voice recorder. Transcripts will be coded using NVivo analysis software. A subsample of kindergarten students will be selected to complete the story completion items in writing, versus role play. The same story stems will be used, but the assessor will ask students to “write about what happens next” instead of showing what happens next using the dolls.

My Family and Friends – Child (MFF-C). The MFF-C (Murray, Murray, & Waas, 2008) is an eleven-item questionnaire in which children report their perspectives of individual relationships with teachers. Each question has two parts. First students are asked whether their teacher provides a particular type of support. Then, if they indicate that type of support is provided, they point to a bar graph to indicate how satisfied they are with that support. The eleven items comprise five factors, including emotional support, informational support, instrumental support, companionship, and conflict. Examples associated with the emotional support factor include “when you want to be with someone who makes you happy, do you go to your teacher and if so, how happy does that make you feel?” and “do you tell your teacher about the bad things you do and if so, how does that make you feel?”

Student-Teacher Relationship Scale. The Student Teacher Relationship Scale, Short Form (Pianta, 2001) is a teacher-report measure of the perceived relationship quality with a given child. The measure included 15-items yielding an eight-point scale for closeness and a seven-point scale for conflict. The measure included a Likert scale response format with a response of 1 indicating the statement “definitely does not apply” and a response of 5 indicating the statement “definitely applies.” Internal consistency between the two scales was calculated at .51 for the present study. Construct validity of the measure was established during design of the STRS in a study by Pianta and Steinberg (1992), with STRS scores and observed behavior correlating from .40 to .67.

My Family and Friends – Teacher (MFF-T). The MFF-T (Murray, Murray, & Waas, 2008) is an eleven-item survey in which teachers report their perspectives of individual relationships with children. Using a 5-point Likert scale, with a 1 indicating the statement
was "definitely not true" and a 5 indicating the statement was "definitely true." The eleven items comprise five factors, including emotional support, informational support, instrumental support, companionship, and conflict. Internal consistency of the measure was evaluated in an earlier study conducted by the survey's authors and ranged from .81 to .84 (Reid, et al., 1989). The emotional support factor contains five items related to emotional warmth and responsiveness and the informational support factor contains two items that assess the provision of general informational help seeking. The instrumental support factor includes two items measuring everyday helping behaviors. One item measures companionship and one measures conflict. A total score will be derived by summing all items, with negatively worded items reversed.

**Child Language.** Children's language will be assessed using the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test. The Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (Dunn & Dunn, 1997) is a nationally normed standardized test of receptive vocabulary. Children are asked to identify pictures of a series of vocabulary words increasing in complexity. An age based standard score for each child will be used. Test-retest reliability ranged from .91 to .94 (Dunn & Dunn, 1997). Validity was achieved with the original sample by comparing PPVT results with other common measures of children's language development.

**Classroom Observations.** The Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS; Pianta et al., 2008) will be used to assess teacher-child interactions in participating preschool and kindergarten classrooms. The CLASS is a commonly used observational measure of the quality of teacher – child interactions in early childhood classrooms. The measure yields three scales for instructional support, emotional support, and classroom organization. Observations are conducted in six 30-minute cycles, repeated over 3 hours. Each item is rated along a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 or 2 indicating low quality; 3, 4, or 5 indicating mid-range of quality; and 6 or 7 indicating high quality.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

All data will be collected in the spring of 2011 and will be kept on a secure laptop for analysis. Coding of the “My Teacher and Me” interviews will be conducted in early summer 2011 by the principal investigator and graduate students using NVivo narrative analysis software. Once coding is completed, analysis of associations between all teacher, child, and classroom observation data will be assessed using SPSS. Results will be written and submitted for potential publication in a high-quality, peer-reviewed journal, Early Childhood Research Quarterly. This journal has a large, international audience and has an impact factor of 1.925. Results will also be shared (pending approval) at several local and national conferences, including NAEYC’s (National Association for the Education of Young Children) National Conference, and SRCD’s (Society for Research in Child Development) Biannual Conference.

**TIMELINE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jan-Feb 2011</th>
<th>Training of Data Collectors, NVivo Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb-Mar 2011</td>
<td>Recruitment of Participants, Consent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-May 2011</td>
<td>Data Collection, Transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2011</td>
<td>Coding of Transcripts, Data Analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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